

14 August 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Annual Management Report

1. On 11 August 1972, [REDACTED] DDS, through the Chief, A&TS, requested information concerning the following questions involving subject report. The answers were furnished on 14 August as indicated.

STAT

a. Section II - [REDACTED]

Q. Why no service in-cost data?

A. This area is difficult to measure. We are not producing like a line item as we are talking of a pilot program. We are measuring feasibility and reliability. The figures in paragraph 4a. are installations.

Q. Why no paragraph 5?

A. Assessment of performance is indicated in paragraph 3c. of Section II of report.

b. Section II - [REDACTED] Office

Q. What went into the cost figure?

A. What was taken into account was the lease (annually \$20,698.63) for five months period and estimated expenses for telephones and other office items (\$425.37). The move was from [REDACTED] was advised

STAT

STAT

we were rechecking our figures as it appeared we may be \$1,000 too high. He said the report had already been sent forward).

c. Section VI - Productivity Improvements

Q. What does security indoctrination have to do with Section VI - Productivity Improvements?

A. This probably should have been left out, however, with employees being re-indoctrinated in the future there would be some production figures.

Q. You have no Productivity Improvements for FY 72. Why?

A. The DDS memo indicated items should be significant. With budget and personnel cuts, what Productivity Improvements we would have would be ^{of} _a routine nature.

2. The above answers seem to satisfy [redacted] as he did not pursue to the questions in any detail.

STAT

STAT

[redacted]
Chief, Executive and Planning Division